



Print Services: [Subscribe](#) | [Home Delivery](#)

Web: [Sign in](#) | [Register](#) | [Mobile Edition](#) |

MercuryNews.com
The Mercury News Silicon Valley

Site Web Search powered by **YAHOO! SEARCH**

- HOME
 - NEWS**
 - BUSINESS
 - TECH
 - SPORTS
 - ENTERTAINMENT
 - LIFE & STYLE
 - OPINION
 - MY CITY
 - HELP
 - JOBS
 - CARS
 - REAL ESTATE
 - CLASSIFIEDS
 - SHOPPING
 - PLACE AD
- breaking news
 - obituaries
 - crime
 - health / science
 - politics
 - nation / world**
 - special reports
 - education
 - traffic
 - weather
 - weird news
 - corrections

Most Viewed Most Emailed

- del.icio.us
- Digg
- Reddit
- YahooMyWeb
- Google
- Facebook
- What's this?

RePrint Print Email

Advertisement

(From the last 12 hours)



- \$1.3 million will be paid, charges dropped against driver...
- Is this San Jose or Disneyland?
- Person survives Interstate 880 crash, then dies when hit running...
- Fisher: Fry's founder's PGA dream closer to reality
- Back-to-back earthquakes registered off Oregon coast, no damage...
- 2,500 voices call for 'Little Saigon'

Justices weigh fine in Exxon Valdez spill

By David G. Savage
Los Angeles Times
Article Launched: 02/28/2008 01:34:01 AM PST

WASHINGTON - Nearly 19 years after the Exxon Valdez oil spill fouled Alaska's Prince William Sound, the Supreme Court debated Wednesday whether the world's largest oil company must pay a record \$2.5 billion in punitive damages.

The eight justices who heard the case appeared closely split, although several said they were looking for a way to reduce the size of the award. Justice Samuel Alito Jr. sat out the case because he is an Exxon stockholder. His stock holdings could prove costly to the company, since a tie vote would have the effect of affirming the \$2.5 billion verdict.

No one disputed that Exxon was responsible for paying for the cleanup and for the losses suffered by fishermen, cannery workers and other Alaska residents. Exxon paid \$900 million in cleanup costs, and a jury ordered it to pay \$287 million to 32,000 Alaskans, many of whom lost their livelihood when the fishing industry was destroyed.

At issue Wednesday was whether extra damages were needed to punish Exxon for corporate recklessness.

In 1994, a jury in Alaska imposed \$5 billion in punitive damages, money that would go to the plaintiffs. Years of appeals followed, and the verdict was cut to \$2.5 billion.

During this same stretch, the Supreme Court has been putting limits on punitive damages, believing the amount should be tied to the actual harm.

The case heard Wednesday is unusual because it apparently was the first before the Supreme Court involving punitive damages for an accident on the high seas.

Advertisement

Maritime law has shielded ship owners from being punished for damage caused by their vessels. This made sense during the era of sailing ships, said Justice David Souter. In those days, a ship was out of its owner's control until months later when it returned to port.

Representing Exxon, attorney Walter Dellinger cited this principle of maritime law and urged the court to throw out the entire punitive verdict.

"It's rather, I think, an exaggeration to call it a long line of settled decisions in maritime law," Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg said.

Stanford law professor Jeffrey Fisher, representing the workers, said Exxon deserved to be punished for "putting a drunken master in charge of a supertanker."

He said the jury heard testimony that Exxon officials knew Capt. Joseph Hazelwood was an alcoholic, and they had 33 reports that he had gone back to drinking.

March 24, 1989, Hazelwood had been drinking and left the bridge of the supertanker. The third mate left in charge failed to turn the ship in time, and it hit Bligh Reef. About 11 million gallons of crude oil spilled.

The justices questioned attorneys for 90 minutes, making only one passing reference to Exxon's record profits. The



THE DNA ANCESTRY PROJECT

Travel back in time...
150,000 years

Discover your ancestry with DNA

www.DNAAncestryProject.com
powered by **genbase**

www.dnaancestryproject.com
Ads by Google

Top Classifieds

[RENTALS](#) [JOBS](#) [REAL ESTATE](#) [AUTOS](#)

Apartments for Rent
Arcadia- The Woods Apts.

MOUNTAIN View 1BR
Click for Details

LOS GATOS
FURNISHED CONDO

MILPITAS
Devries Place Senior Housing

Apartments for Rent
Arcadia - Cherrywood Apts.

[ALL LISTINGS](#)

award represents less than three weeks' worth of Exxon profit, which was \$11.7 billion in the last three months of 2007.

The Associated Press contributed to this report.

[RePrint](#) [Print](#) [Email](#) [Return to Top](#)

Comments

We are pleased to let readers post comments about an article. Please increase the credibility of your post by including your full name and city in the body of your comment.

[FAQ: Why the changes to article commenting? Why do I need to login? and more about commenting.](#)

Recent Comments

Be the first to post a comment.

Post Your Comment

[Log in to forums](#) to post a comment.

Nation & World

[Arrest made after Bill Clinton speech](#)

[Key figures about Iraq since war began](#)

[Woman guilty of killing ex-lover's wife](#)

[NJ family sues school in son's death](#)

[Protected path sought for Wyo. antelope](#)

[Anti-Quran film to air in Netherlands](#)

[Egyptian chopper strays over Gaza](#)

[2 soldiers slain in Wash. state](#)

[Refinance and Save \\$1,000S](#)

\$150,000 Mortgage for \$483/month.
Compare up to 4 free
www.pickamortgage.com

[Car Insurance Quotes Online](#)

Compare auto insurance quotes from top companies online.
www.insurance.com

[Fed Cuts Interest Rates Again](#)

Mortgage Rates Hit 26 Month Lows.
Calculate Your New
www.LowerMyBills.com

[Ads by Yahoo!](#)

FREE* Dinner for Two at  **CLICK HERE!**
*See Offer Details

©2007 www.bestfreerewards.com

Copyright 2008 San Jose Mercury News

[Help/Contact](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Advertise](#) | [Home Delivery](#) | [Copyright](#) | [Privacy Policy and Terms of Use](#) | [About MediaNews Group](#) | [RSS](#) | [Ethics Policy](#) | [About Bay Area News Group](#) | 