

Search



Most popular | Subscribe

Advertisement

LIFE
E-NEWSLETTER
A daily dose of the best entertainment news

THE LATEST GOSSIP

Straight to your inbox

USA TODAY .com



- Home
- News
- Travel
- Money
- Sports
- Life
- Tech
- Weather

Become a member of the USA TODAY community now!
[Log in](#) | [Become a member](#)
 What's this?



News

- Shopping
- Buy a Car
- Job Search
- Real Estate

OPINION

Views from our editorial board, columnists and readers



[Click here](#) for today's cartoon

Subscribe to the Opinion feed [XML](#)

Today's topics

- Freedom of choice underlies USA's religious vibrancy
- Our view on agricultural payments: Cut welfare for farmers
- Opposing view: Don't weaken the safety net
- A tortured defense
- Missing in campaign '08: The education candidate
- Kosovo's new independence opens Pandora's box
- Modernization key to Army's future

Regular features

On Religion

A weekly series explores the issues of faith that are shaping our world.

- Read columns

Common Ground

In Washington today, politicians too often just stand their ground. Liberal strategist Bob Beckel and conservative columnist Cal Thomas provide a better model.

- Read columns

Window on the Web

An at-a-glance look at online conversations selected for the newspaper.

- Read comments

Voices of Immigration

Readers discuss their personal experiences.

- Read letters

Voices of Katrina

Our opinion



Two decades after oil spill, Alaskans still await 'new start'

On March 24, 1989, the oil tanker Exxon Valdez ran aground on the coast of Alaska and disgorged millions of gallons of oil into the waters of Prince William Sound. For thousands of Alaskan fishermen, the pollution wiped out a way of life, so they sued, hoping for some measure of justice. They are still hoping. But the case drags on and on, highlighting the gross unfairness of a Dickensian system that serves the interests of defendants and lawyers who profit from endless delays, but does little or nothing to protect those with a grievance.

The case has outlived at least four lawyers from the core group that filed suit, a federal judge who heard the first appeal and about 6,000 of the nearly 33,000 Alaskans who sought relief. Now, their heirs are waiting, too. On Wednesday, nearly 19 years after the spill, the U.S. Supreme Court will hear arguments over whether the corporation must pay \$2.5 billion in punitive damages.

The injured Alaskans argue credibly that Exxon was irresponsible in leaving an alcoholic captain in charge. [Exxon contends](#) the award is excessive and not permitted under maritime law. Either way, the time taken to decide the case should embarrass every member of the court.

(1989 photo by Jack Smith, AP)

The case took five years just to come to trial. In 1994, a federal jury in Anchorage ordered Exxon to pay \$5 billion in punitive damages. The plaintiffs were elated: One of their lawyers [said](#) it would "allow them to make a new start on their lives."

They were wrong. With so much at stake, Exxon was expected to appeal, and the corporation did so, three years after trial. That put the case in the hands of the lethargic 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, where it languished. Four years passed before a three-judge panel finally issued its first ruling, an unconscionable delay. The court upheld the jury's judgment but ordered the award reduced. Twice more, as the Supreme Court handed down rulings on the size of punitive damage awards, Exxon filed new appeals, sending the case back to the appellate court, which in 2006 reduced the award to \$2.5 billion. Exxon then appealed to the Supreme Court, where the case arrives Wednesday.

The litigation's endless trek through the courts is extreme, but excessive delays are always at risk when one side has the incentive and the financial means to exploit the system. Preliminary findings in a study of damage cases in New York and California show shorter delays to be common. In 20% of the cases, plaintiffs waited a year after the verdict to get their first check; 10% waited more than two years, according to the study by the RAND Corporation, a California think tank.

In another extreme, high-profile case, lawyers for former president Richard Nixon used appeals and

Related Advertising Links

[What's This?](#)

Hot Stock Alert - TMDI

Telemedicine Medical Technology & Mini Medical...
www.Telemedicus.com

Hot Stock Alert - GFET

Green Energy, Cellulose Ethanol. Growth Stock...
www.GulfEthanolCorp.com

Advertisement

Alternative To
Open Back
Surgery

World Leader of
Arthroscopic Procedures
for Back and Neck
Conditions!

laserspineinstitute.com

Voices of Katrina

Readers share the impact Hurricane Katrina has had on their lives.

- [Read letters](#)

Opinionline

What people are saying about the news of the week.

- [Read columns](#)

Al Neuharth

- [Read columns](#)

DeWayne Wickham

- [Read columns](#)

Opinions by subject

Education

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

Election '08

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

Immigration

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

Iraq

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

Reforming Washington

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

Terrorism

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

Your Freedoms

[Editorials, Debates](#) | [Columns](#) | [Letters](#)

View all opinions

Editorials, Debates

[Read all editorials, debates](#)

Columns

[Read all columns](#)

Letters

[Read all letters](#)

Other USA TODAY content

- [USATODAY.com - News & Information Homepage](#)

Commitment to accuracy

To report corrections and clarifications, contact Reader Editor Brent Jones at 1 800 872 7073 or e-mail accuracy@usatoday.com. Please



other delaying tactics to stall release of his White House tapes for more than two decades.

Nixon had a right to appeal, as does Exxon. But if justice delayed is justice denied, as British Prime Minister William Gladstone famously said in the 19th century, then the judiciary needs to force slow-moving cases such as these onto a faster track.

Slow justice

1989:

The Exxon Valdez, an oil tanker, runs aground in Prince William Sound, off the Alaskan coast, and spills millions of gallons of oil.

1994:

Federal jury finds in favor of 33,000 fishermen, Native Alaskans and other plaintiffs. It imposes a \$5 billion punitive damage award against Exxon.

1997:

Exxon appeals to the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals.

2001:

The court [upholds the judgment](#), but sends it back to the trial judge, telling him to reduce the amount.

2006:

The 9th Circuit [cuts the award](#) to \$2.5 billion.

Wednesday:

U.S. Supreme Court to hear Exxon's appeal.

Posted at 12:22 AM/ET, February 26, 2008 in Business issues - Editorial, Law/Judiciary - Editorial, Politics, Government - Editorial, Supreme Court - Editorial, USA TODAY editorial | [Permalink](#)

USA TODAY welcomes your views and encourages lively -- but civil -- discussions. Comments are unedited, but submissions reported as abusive may be removed. By posting a comment, you affirm that you are 13 years of age or older.

You must be logged in to leave a comment. [Log in](#) | [Register](#)

Comments: (33)

Showing:



Ra-Horakhty wrote: 1d 9h ago

I can only hope that USA Today will keep up the pressure on Exxon and the 'judiciary' to find some remnants of honour in this disgraceful, nay, scandalous, affair. What is the matter with these people?

[Recommend](#) **3** | [Report Abuse](#)



HRW wrote: 1d 6h ago

Seems a miscarriage of justice when a multi-billion dollar corporation can do such terrible damage, be found culpible then be able to delay paying for the crime for 2 decades and running. Just using it's deep pockets and the American justice system, even.

Gas prices are outrageous anyway so it's not like there's some big worry that making Exxon pay for it's stupidity will make them rise further. That's happening anyway.

Not much hope can be held in this big business favoring government. But, maybe the Supreme Court can finally put an end to Exxon's blalant playing of the system.

[Recommend](#) **3** | [Report Abuse](#)